UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,202	11/13/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US010572	2934
24737 Phil IPS INTE	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 300			LASTRA, DANIEL	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	10510 ART UNIT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3622	
		•		·
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action '	10/014,202	GUTTA, SRINIVAS			
•	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE	HE REPLY FILED 08 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛭	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
•	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 2/8/6 A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
	(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).  7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered						
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	Other:	7	leholge D. Ha RETTAYEHDEGA RETTAYEHDEGA			
		(1 OF	RIMARY EXAMINER			
	•					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant added new claim 24 which was already present in claim 1 and without canceling said claim 1.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Herz fails to teach "partitioning the viewing history of a third party into clusters and inserting one or more of those clusters into the user's viewing profile to create a modified user's profile". The Examiner answers that according to Applicant's specification a partition is equivalent to a cluster. Herz teaches clustering (i.e. partitioning) the profiles of third party persons viewing history and combining the viewing profiles of two or more customers, with equal or unequal weightings, so that the video programming with content profiles strictly within the overlap area of the combined customer profiles will be preferred". Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, Herz partition into a plurality of clusters the profiles of third party customers and inserts said profiles into other profiles to create a modified user's profile (i.e. overlap area of the combined customer profiles).